

**Intermediary Group - Shackles Off Youth Group**

Question	Agree	Response
<b>Overview</b>		10 young people took part in a group discussion facilitated by Connexions.
<b>2 – Safety, security, environment and planning</b>	Yes – 8 No – 2	<p>In this group of 10, 8 young people answered Yes, and 2 No.</p> <p>On balance the group felt the case to look for a site and then firm up the safety questions was good. The 2 in disagreement felt strongly that a good safety case could not be made wherever the site was put.</p>
<b>3 – Impacts</b>	Yes – 8 No – 2	<p>In this group of 10, 8 young people answered Yes, and 2 No.</p> <p>Generally the group felt the positive impacts outweighed the negative and it was worth, at this early stage, proceeding to look for a site to see if the negatives could be reduced or removed.</p> <p>Jobs to be created were particularly valued.</p>
<b>4 – Community benefits</b>	Yes – 8 No – 2	<p>In this group of 10, 8 young people answered Yes, and 2 No.</p> <p>While 8 are currently in agreement with the views expressed by the Partnership they did say: "We need a proper Community benefits package confirmed". In this rural area they would particularly value improved infrastructure to combat rural isolation.</p>
<b>8 – Overall views on participation</b>		<p>The group remained consistent in their views. 8 wished to proceed to the next stage and 2 remained opposed. For the latter the safety and environmental concerns are too much of a risk regardless of where the site might be. One young person raised the question: " Would it pay for itself? Would a profit be generated from it? Would that profit come to us in the local community or go to shareholders?"</p>